

Filename: S:\Word\Permits\TSDs\Mesa Fully Formed\V20698.000.tsd
From: Anu Jain
Date: July 17, 2023

**Technical Support Document
Mesa Fully Formed, L.L.C.
Permit #V20698.000**

1.	BACKGROUND	2
1.1	Applicant	2
1.2	Facility/Process Description	2
1.2.1	Cultured Marble Products.....	2
1.2.2	Laminated Products (Counter tops).....	3
1.3	Application History	3
2.	EMISSIONS - METHODOLOGY	3
2.1	Polymer Casting VOCs and HAPs	3
2.1.1	Potential Emissions	4
2.1.2	Allowable Emissions	4
2.2	Gel Coating VOCs and HAPs.....	4
2.2.1	Potential Emissions	4
2.2.2	Allowable Emissions	4
2.3	Other VOC/HAP Emitting Activities	5
2.3.1	Potential Emissions	5
2.3.2	Allowable Emissions	5
2.4	Finishing Operations and Woodworking	5
3.	TOTAL EMISSIONS - POTENTIAL/ALLOWABLE	5
4.	REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND CONSTRAINTS	6
4.1	TITLE V/PSD Applicability	6
4.2	Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) Applicability and Implementation..	6
4.3	Regulatory Emission Limitations	7
4.3.1	Opacity	7
4.3.2	Particulate Matter from Process Industries	7
4.4	Non-Applicable Requirements	7
5.	HAPS MODELING	8
6.	REGULAR COMPLIANCE REPORTING	8

1. BACKGROUND

1.1 Applicant

Mesa Fully Formed, L.L.C.
1111 South Serrine
Mesa, AZ 85210

1.2 Facility/Process Description

This permit authorizes the construction and operation of a facility for the manufacture of cultured marble, plastic laminate products, solid surfaces, and engineered and natural stone. The facility is located at 1349 West Industrial Drive, Coolidge, Arizona, upon parcels also identified by Pinal County Assessor numbers 209-25-01200, -01309, -01408, -01507. The main SIC Codes for the facility are 3089 (Plastic products), 3083 (Laminated Plastic plate, sheet and profile shapes) and 3281 (Cut stone and stone products). The facility is situated in an area classified as "attainment" for all pollutants except PM-10

The principal operations at the facility are:

- manufacture of cultured marble, custom bath tubs, shower panels and pans and manufacturing of vanity tops;
- manufacture and fabrication of plastic laminated counter tops;
- fabrication of solid surfaces, engineered and natural stone.

1.2.1 Cultured Marble Products

Release coated molds for the different lines of products are sprayed with gelcoat in 4 gelcoat booths in the Marble Pour Process Area. After air-drying in the drying area, polyester resins and additives in combination, called "marble", are poured into the molds from 4 mixer/casting machines. The marble is leveled out and set up in the production area to cure. After curing, the product is removed from the molds using mold releases and sent to the finishing shop.

Gelcoats and polyester resins typically contain styrene and methyl methacrylate (MMA). The additives and promoters used in the marble also may contain styrene and other HAPs. The mold releasing waxes used, and other solvents used during the process contain VOC and HAP compounds, including principally methylene chloride, methanol, toluene and others.

VOC and HAP emissions are uncontrolled.

In the Cultured Marble Finishing Shop, marble products are ground, sanded, polished and buffed as needed. The products are then stored until installation. Particulate matter emissions from grinding will be controlled by a baghouse.

1.2.2 Laminated Products (Counter tops)

In the Core Production/Blank Production/CNC Router shop, wooden boards and plastic laminate are received from a supplier and cut to dimension. They are glued together with an adhesive spray and put through a drying tunnel. After the drying tunnel, a "pinch roller" and a forming machine form the laminate onto the board. The product is then

moved to the Laminate Finishing area where it is cut and finished as needed. Particulate emissions from the woodworking and finishing operations are controlled by baghouses. VOC and HAP emissions from this process are uncontrolled.

1.3 Application History

The following information submitted by the applicant was used in the processing of this permit:

- Industrial Permit Application, received on November 15, 2012. Signed by Stephen M. King, General Manager.
- E-mail with Potential to Emit information from Mia Chung, Mesa Fully Formed, received on December 20, 2012.

2. EMISSIONS - METHODOLOGY

The principal emissions from the facility will be generated mainly from the gelcoat and resin operations, which will emit styrene and MMA, both considered Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs). Solvents, thinners and glues are used in several operations around the facility wood fabrication and will generate some HAPs and VOCs. Emissions of particulate matter (PM10) will be generated by the finishing operations.

2.1 Polymer Casting VOCs and HAPs

Polymer casting is defined (40 CFR §63.5935) as “a process for fabricating composites in which composite materials are ejected from a casting machine or poured into an open, partially open, or closed mold and cured. After the composite materials are poured into the mold, they are not rolled out or worked while the mold is open...Products produced by the polymer casting process include cultured marble products...”

According to the Frequently Asked Questions during the development of the Reinforced Plastic Composites Production, 40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW (May 16, 2006), EPA suggested that for the purposes of developing the rule, 2% styrene was assumed to be emitted from the polymer casting operations. This documentation can be found at:
http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/rpc/faq_final.pdf

The background information document for the Reinforced Plastic Composites Production, 40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW rule is available at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/rpc/rpcpg.html>. Section 5.3.1.5 of this document states that EPA reviewed the AP-42 HAP emission factors for closed molding and found the range of 1 to 3% of available HAP to be reasonable. EPA referenced an emission test that built a temporary total enclosure that showed an emission factor of 1.1% of available HAP for injection molding. EPA then used an emission factor of 2% in the development of the rule. The resulting rule does not include any requirements to verify this assumption on a site specific basis.

Emission factor of 2% styrene emission was used for the closed mixing and for the hand mixed operations.

2.1.1 Potential Emissions

Each of the 4 autocasters is rated at 80 lb/min. At 8,760 hours per year, this facility could pour 84,094 tons of marble per year. Twenty three (23) percent of the marble is assumed (Mesa Fully Formed formulation) to be resin.

According to the list of typical resins used included in the permit application, the average styrene content of the resins is 30%. The potential styrene emissions from the polymer casting were estimated as follows:

$$\text{Styrene (resin)} = 84,096 \text{ tons} \times 23\% \times 32\% \times 2\% \times 4 \text{ (autocasters)} = 124 \text{ tons of styrene (HAP) per year}$$

2.1.2 Allowable Emissions

By limiting the combined capacity of the 4 autocasters to 11,038 tons per year, at 23% solids to resin ratio, 32% styrene content and 2% emission factor, this permit restricts the styrene emissions from the marble casting to 16 tons per year.

2.2 Gel Coating VOCs and HAPs

The amount of gelcoat sprayed on the molds is related to the resin pouring, since resin is not poured without the molds first being sprayed with gelcoat. From current operations in their Mesa, AZ. facility, the applicant has determined a gelcoat to resin to emission ratio of 3.59.

2.2.1 Potential Emissions

Therefore the potential styrene emissions from gelcoat application were calculated as follows:

$$\text{Styrene (gelcoat)} = 124 \text{ tpy} \times 3.59 = 444 \text{ tons of styrene (HAP) per year}$$

2.2.2 Allowable Emissions

By limiting the amount of resin to gelcoat ratio to 3.59 as calculated over a 12 month rolling average, the permit restricts the styrene emissions from the gelcoat application to approximately 58 tons per year.

2.3 Site-wide VOC/HAP Emitting Activities

Laminate Shop and other Ancillary operations are also related to the resin pouring. Mesa Fully Formed generally conducts Laminate Shop and Ancillary Operations at a rate dependent upon the amount of resin poured. Emissions from these related processes are, on average, directly proportional to emissions from the resin pouring. From their existing facility, Mesa Fully Formed has determined that 75.5 % of the facility's total VOC emissions come from the styrene in gelcoat spraying and resin pouring.

2.3.1 Potential Emissions

$$\text{VOC/HAP} = (124 \text{ tpy resin} + 444 \text{ tpy gelcoat}) \times (100/75.5) = 752 \text{ tons of VOCs/HAPs per year}$$

$$\text{VOC (non-styrene)} = 752 - 124 - 444 = 184 \text{ tpy VOC}$$

2.3.2 Allowable Emissions

Using the same methodology as that used to calculate PTE “other VOCs” from the facility, PCAQCD calculated that with the limitations described in 2.1.2 and 2.2.2, total site wide VOC/HAP emissions would be:

$$\text{VOC/HAP} = (16+58) \text{ tpy} \times (100/75.5) = 98 \text{ tons of VOCs/HAPs per year}$$

$$\text{VOC (non-styrene)} = 98-16-58 = 24 \text{ tpy VOC}$$

The bulk of these VOC emissions will be generated by the adhesive used in the laminate production and the different waxes for mold releasing.

The adhesive is used in large quantities, but it is an aqueous product. While the application uses a 0.17 lb/gal VOC content for emissions calculations, the applicant has indicated that the formulation of the glue may vary in different seasons. The VOC content would never exceed 0.30 lb/gal. Therefore, the permit limits the glue VOC emissions to 0.30 lb/gal to ensure the use of an aqueous glue. Even with the maximum predicted use of 20,000 gallons per year, emissions from the glue will not exceed 3 tons per year.

2.4 Finishing Operations and Woodworking

Controlled potential PM10 emissions from the stone/engineered stone and solid surface finishing, marble process, and laminate blank/finish and CNC router are not expected to exceed 1 ton per year. Emissions from those 3 areas will be controlled by baghouses rated at 99% control efficiency.

3. TOTAL SITE-WIDE EMISSIONS (VOCs/HAPs) - POTENTIAL/ALLOWABLE

Operation	VOC / HAP Emissions ⁴ (tpy)	
	Potential	Allowable
Marble Mix/Pour	124	16
Gelcoat Spraying	444	58
VOC Other Operations	184	24
TOTAL¹	752	~98

4. REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND CONSTRAINTS

4.1 TITLE V/PSD Applicability

This facility constitutes a “major source” of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) and requires a permit pursuant to Title V of the CAA Amendments of 1990.

¹While the applicant requested limits of 99 tpy for HAPs, due to the application-estimated ratios of resin to gelcoat, and VOC emissions to HAP emissions, the throughput limitations will maintain the facility at levels below 99 tpy of HAPs and VOCs.

Without the limitations of the permit, the source would constitute a "major emitting source" for VOCs within the meaning of 40 CFR §51.166, and would have the potential to emit more than 250 tons per year of VOC and therefore would require the facility to go through a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) review. However, based on the limitations in the permit, this source is considered a "synthetic minor" with respect to PSD.

In order to maintain synthetic minor status with emissions of VOCs and HAPs below 99 tons per year, the permit restricts the amount of polyester resins, gelcoats and mold releasing products used per year. The above amounts would be restricted by limiting the ratios provided by the applicant based on their current manufacturing facility in Mesa (Maricopa County). It also limits the styrene content of both resins and gelcoats, as well as the VOC content of the laminate blank shop adhesive, to ensure that a low-VOC glue is used at all times. To ensure that permittee does not violate the ratios and the emission cap listed in the permit, following monitoring, recordkeeping and compliance verifications are required:

- a. VOCs and HAPs Emissions Recordkeeping and Calculations (Section §6.A.1.a of the permit).
- b. Compliance Calculations (Section §6.A.1.b of the permit)
- c. MACT Compliance Verification (Section §6.B of the permit)
 - i. Monitoring and Recordkeeping
 - ii. Required Records
 - iii. Initial Compliance Demonstration
 - iv. Continuous Compliance
 - v. MACT Compliance Calculations

4.2 Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) Applicability and Implementation

The facility has to comply with 40 CFR 63 Subpart WWWW, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP): Reinforced Plastic Composites Production. Operations at Mesa Fully Formed include open molding operations in tooling and production area, the mixing of HAP containing materials, equipment cleaning, and storage of HAP containing material and repair activity. All of these activities are regulated by the standard. In their most recent revision to the NESHAP, EPA exempted polymer casting from any of the limitations and work practice standards in the subpart. While it this type of operation is exempted from those standards, it is still subject to the MACT standard and no case-by-case MACT (per §112j) needs to be developed. Emissions from polymer casting are counted towards MACT applicability, and the permittee is still required to keep records and show compliance with the overall VOC and HAP limitations of the permit.

The applicant has requested a limitation to keep VOC and HAP emissions below 100 tons per year, to avoid more stringent reductions required by the NESHAP for sources with 100 + tpy of emissions.

Subpart WWWW requires sources to meet annual organic HAP limitations for each type of operation, as well as work practice standards.

There are 4 compliance options to meet the HAP limitations, and sources can switch from one to the other without much restriction. Emission calculations are done every month, and the applicant is required to demonstrate initial compliance with the HAP limitations within a year of permit issuance. Due to the compliance options that allow averaging, the applicant is not

restricted to any single resin or gelcoat due to their HAP content, and is not restricted to using only one type of operation (atomized vs. non-atomized stream). Instead, the compliance with the limitations of each type of operation is assessed at the end of one year of operations.

The work practice standards are required to minimize fugitive emissions of VOC and HAPs during operations and storage of products.

4.3 Regulatory Emission Limitations

4.3.1 Opacity

While the federally enforceable opacity limitation is 40%, there is a locally enforceable 20% opacity limitation that applies to point sources not already regulated by a new source performance standard.

At this facility, the 20% limitation would apply to the baghouses since they are not regulated by any other standard. To monitor for compliance with this standard, the permit requires quarterly opacity “screenings”, and required Method 9 opacity tests only when visible emissions are observed. Also, the permit requires weekly baghouse inspections and booth filter inspections.

4.3.2 Particulate Matter from Process Industries

The facility is subject to regulation PGAQCD §7-3-1.8 (§5-24-1032), which is federally enforceable. This regulation limits the particulate matter from the facility to:

E (lb/hr) = 40.10P^{0.67}, where P is the process weight (tons/hr)

Using process weights indicated in the permit application of 5,000 lbs/hr from stone/engineered stone and solid surface finishing, 300,000 lb/yr from the marble process and 500,000 lb/yr from the laminate blank/finish/CNC router:

$$E = 41 \times \left(\frac{850,000(\text{lb} / \text{yr})}{2000(\text{lb} / \text{ton}) \times 8760(\text{hr} / \text{yr})} \right)^{0.67} = 0.54(\text{lb} / \text{hr}) = 236\text{tpy}$$

The applicant will be using a filter system in the finish shop, and cyclone/baghouses with control efficiencies of 99% for the marble shop, laminate finish and CNC Router/Thermoformer shop operations. The permit requires that woodworking and finishing operations in these areas are vented to the appropriate control device. Estimated controlled emissions from the facility are 0.6 tons per year (from permit application), which is only 25% of the allowable emissions, approximately. The permit requires weekly inspections of the baghouses and filters to ensure their proper operation.

4.4 Non-Applicable Requirements

- The requirements of 40 CFR 64, Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM), are not applicable since Mesa Fully Formed does not use a control device to achieve compliance with any emission limitation or standard for a pollutant for which the source has potential pre-control device emissions greater than or equal to major source levels for that pollutant.

- The Arizona HAPs rule was promulgated in 2006 and it will become effective as of January 2007. It includes standards for new and modified sources of HAPs, as of 1/07. It does not apply to major sources already subject to a NESHAP.

5. HAPS MODELING

Screen 3 modeling was conducted for styrene and methylene chloride. Styrene is the largest HAP emitted, and methylene chloride has very low ambient guidelines/standards. The results have been compared with the Arizona Ambient Air Quality Guideline (AAAQG) and they do not show any exceedance. Although, these projected ambient impacts are below the odor detect thresholds for styrene and methylene chloride, nonetheless, odors are not subject to regulatory limitations.

~~Since the buildings are not completed yet, dimensions of the stacks and buildings have been estimated. Also, the styrene emitting stacks from the casting machines and the gelcoat booths are simplified for modeling purposes into a single stack. The same stack parameters were used to model methylene chloride.~~

Styrene emission rate = 74 tpy = 16.89 lb/hr
 Methylene chloride emission rate = 1.04 tpy = 0.237 lb/hr (approximately 1.04 tpy)

Building dimensions = 140'L x 75'W x 15'H
 Stack dimensions = 29' Height, 34" diameter
 Exit Gas Velocity = 36 fps
 Exit Gas Temperature = 80° F

Results

Concentration (µg/m ³)	Styrene		Methylene Chloride	
	Predicted	AAAQG	Predicted	AAAQG
1-hr (max.)	490.73	3,500	7.16	3,000
24-hr	196.29	1,700	2.86	800
Annual	39.26	n/a	0.57	2.2

6. REGULAR COMPLIANCE REPORTING

The semi-annual report required by the permit and the NESHAP will identify the following:

- Any deviations from the permit limitations, standards and work practices, and any corrective action taken,
- whether the permittee has exceeded the 100 ton threshold that will incur additional requirements from the NESHAP,
- whether the compliance option has changed since the last reporting period, and
- any deviations from the work practice standards of the NESHAP (Table 4).